	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/600,230	WILKINSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Collins	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: res	sponse to nonfinal office
(1) <u>Cynthia Collins</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Willis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	•	
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
Cynthia Collins		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/600,230

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: confirmed that notice of noncompliant office action issued June 14, 2006 is incorrect, as no claims are missing, i.e. a complete listing of claims is presen in the amendment filed June 9, 2006. It is also noted that NO document was filed on April 17, 2006 in this application, the only claims pending in this application are claims 1-4, 6 and 9-13, and that the Notice of Noncompliant Amendment mailed June 14, 2006 does not list an Application Number, Applicant(s), Examiner or Art Unit.